								Data Component Ranking Value Overall										Overal	l Ranking		
CASGEM Groundwater Basin Prioritization Results									£	lls			Grou	oundwater Reliance							
Basin count	Basin Basin Name Number	Sorted by Basi	Hydrologic Region	DWR Region Office	Basin Ar	rea Sq. Mile	2010 Population	pulation	pulation Growt	ublic Supply Wells	otal Wells *	rigated Acreage	GW Use **	Percent of Fotal Supply **	W Reliance otal	npacts	Other	Overall Basin Ranking Score ***	Overall Basin Priority	Impact Comments	Other Information Comments
1	3-1 SOQUEL VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	2,515	3.9	18,634	5	2	5	3.75	1	5	4	4.5	1	0	22.3	High	Water quality degradation, saline intrusion issues.	
2	2.2 PAIABOVALLEY		Caratural Caract	CCDO	00.063	127.0	114 202		-	4	2.75	4	-	_	ļ _	1		24.0	110-6	DVANAD 2014 Accord Depart indicates that Deigns Valley CW having	
2	3-2 PAJARO VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	88,062	137.6	114,282	2 2	2	4	3.75	4	5	5	5	4	0	24.8	High	PVWMD 2011 Annual Report indicates that Pajaro Valley GW basin remains in significant overdraft, with continuing seawater intrusior and gw storage depletion.	
3	3-20 ANO NUEVO AREA		Central Coast	SCRO	2,030	3.2		1	0	4	1.5	3	1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
4	3-21 SANTA CRUZ PURISIMA FORMATION		Central Coast	SCRO	40,166	62.8	17,693	3 2	0	3	3.75	1	3	4	3.5	0	1	14.3	Medium		Basin comprises the highland area east of Santa Cruz and serves as forebay to Pajaro, Soquel, and Terrace Basins to the westwhich a in various stages of overdraft.
5	3-22 SANTA ANA VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	2,724	4.3	76	1	0	0	2.25	4	4	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
6	3-23 UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	1,431	2.2		0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
7	3-24 QUIEN SABE VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	4,706 3,385	7.4 5.3		0	0	0	0 2.25	3	1	<u>1</u>	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
9	3-25 TRES PINOS VALLEY 3-26 WEST SANTA CRUZ TERRACE		Central Coast Central Coast	SCRO SCRO	7,863	12.3			1	3	3.75	1	4	4	4	2	1	20.8	Very Low Medium	Water quality degradation	Low gw use, but basin at high risk of seawater intrusion due to thir alluvial aquifer and dependency on up-gradient users to maintain positive westward flow conditions (2005, Santa Cruz UWMP).
10	3-27 SCOTTS VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	773	1.2	3,875	5 4	1	5	3.75	0	3	0	0	4	0	0.0	Very Low	Overdraft and water quality issues associated with contaminated sites within the basin.	
11	3-28 SAN BENITO RIVER VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	24,223	37.8		_			0.75		1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
12 13	3-29 DRY LAKE VALLEY 3-3.01 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	LLAGAS AREA	Central Coast Central Coast	SCRO SCRO	1,416 55,967	2.2 87.4		0 3	0	0 5	0 3.75	<u>2</u> 5	<u>2</u> 5	5 5	5	0	0	0.0 25.8	Very Low High	Nitrate has impacted a significant number of private domestic well:	.
13	3-3.01 GILKOT-HOLLISTER VALLET	LLAGAS ANLA	Central Coast	SCRO	33,307	07.4	91,700	, 3	2	,	3.73	,	9	,		2		25.6	Tilgii	across the Llagas Subbasin due to historic and ongoing sources including agricultural activities and septic systems, Perchlorate is also a problem	
	3-3.02 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	BOLSA AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	20,912	32.7			1		2.25		2	2	2	4	0	16.3	Medium	Water quality degradation, overdraft	
15 16	3-3.03 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY 3-3.04 GILROY-HOLLISTER VALLEY	HOLLISTER AREA SAN JUAN BAUTISTA AREA	Central Coast Central Coast	SCRO SCRO	32,729 74,305	51.1 116.1			1	3	3 2.25	2	2	5	3.5	4	0	17.5 16.8	Medium Medium	Poor water quality due to high TDS	
17	3-30 BITTER WATER VALLEY	ANEA	Central Coast	SCRO	32,222	50.3	38	0	0	0	0.75	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
18	3-31 HERNANDEZ VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	2,865	4.5			0	0			0	0	0	0	0		Very Low		
19	3-32 PEACH TREE VALLEY	100/100 5005	Central Coast	SCRO	9,791	15.3		0	0	0	0.75		1	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
20	3-4.01 SALINAS VALLEY	180/400 FOOT AQUIFER	Central Coast	SCRO	84,321	131.8	55,740	2	0	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	0	24.0	High	Coastal basin with saline intrusion in both 180-Foot and 400-Foot aquifers due to excessive groundwater pumping	
	3-4.02 SALINAS VALLEY	EAST SIDE AQUIFER			57,452	89.8	,			4				5	5	3	0		High	Overdraft conditions in basin, high TDS and Nitrates exceeding drinking water standards in portions of the basin	
	3-4.04 SALINAS VALLEY 3-4.05 SALINAS VALLEY	FOREBAY AQUIFER UPPER VALLEY	Central Coast		94,025	146.9				2			5	5	5	0	0	17.3	Medium	Door quality water along the eastern side of subbasis DCW -b	
23	3-4.U3 SALINAS VALLEY	AQUIFER	Central Coast	SCRO	98,164	153.4	15,862	1	1	2	1.5	4	5	5	5	1	0	15.5	Medium	Poor quality water along the eastern side of subbasin. PSW above MCL for inorganics and Nitrates (B-118).	
	3-4.06 SALINAS VALLEY	PASO ROBLES AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	597,241	933.2				2				5	3.5	4	5	23.3	High	Nitrate and TDS impacts to groundwater (B-118)	County groundwater ordinance banning further residential development in basin.
25	3-4.08 SALINAS VALLEY	SEASIDE AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	25,903	40.5	65,899	3	0	4	3.75	1	3	5	4	5	0	20.8	Medium	Seawater intrusion in Coastal basin due to excessive pumping	
26	3-4.09 SALINAS VALLEY	LANGLEY AREA	Central Coast	SCRO	15,344	24.0		2	1	5	3.75	2	5	5	5	0	0	18.8	Medium		
	3-4.10 SALINAS VALLEY	CORRAL DE TIERRA AREA	Central Coast		22,274	34.8	,			4			3	5	4	0	0		Medium		
28	3-5 CHOLAME VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	39,847	62.3				1				0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
29	3-50 FELTON AREA 3-51 MAJORS CREEK		Central Coast		1,155	1.8 0.6			0		3.75 1.5		2 4	<u>4</u> 5	0	3 0	0	0.0	Very Low	Overdraft	
30 31	3-51 MAJORS CREEK 3-52 NEEDLE ROCK POINT	+	Central Coast Central Coast		364 479	0.6				0			3	5	0	0	0		Very Low Very Low	<u>†</u>	
32	3-6 LOCKWOOD VALLEY	1	Central Coast		59,933	93.6				2			0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
33	3-7 CARMEL VALLEY		Central Coast		5,151	8.0			3			2		5	5	1	1	22.8	High	Excessive pumping of Cal-Am wells caused groundwater overdraft and Carmel River to dry, leading to court order.	SW-GW Interaction Issue. Cal-Am Water Company court ordered to reduce 2/3rds of diversions from Carmel River.

1 CA DWR Run Version 05262014

										Da	ita Com	ponent	Ranking \	/alue			Overall	Ranking		
CASGEM Groundwater Basin Prioritization Results										silis			Groundwater Relia							
Sorted by Basin Number									ulation Growth	* Illo	wells " ted Acreage	*	of pply **	iance		tion	Overall Basin Ranking	Overall Basin Priority	Impact Comments	Other Information Comments
Basin Basin count Number	Basin Name	Sub-Basin Name	Hydrologic Region	Region Office	I	Sq. Mile	2010 Population	Populat	Populat		lotal we	GW Use	Percent Total Su	GW Reli Total	Impacts	Other Informa	Score ***	l'iloney		
34 3-8	LOS OSOS VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	6,994	10.9	13,948	3	0 !	5 (0 4	3	3	3	5	2	22.0	High	Documented saline intrusion due to "serious" overdraft, also nitrate impairment.	Interlocutory Stipulated Judgment against water suppliers and purveyors in basin and proceeding with adjudication. Also add one point due to total well count error for this basin.
35 3-9	SAN LUIS OBISPO VALLEY		Central Coast	SCRO	12,724	19.9	18,834	2	1 !	5 (0 4	3	4	3.5	3	1	19.5	Medium	Overdraft Conditions	While only 18,000 may live in the actual basin, over 45,000 (2010 census) rely on the basin for 2/3rds of their drinking water.
36 5-22.02	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MODESTO	San Joaquin River	SCRO	246,518	385.2	294,872	2 2	3 4	4 3	3 4	5	2	3.5	4	0	23.5	High	Water quality degradation due to industrial and agricultural practices	
37 5-22.03	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TURLOCK	San Joaquin River	SCRO	347,146	542.4	197,605	2	3	3	3 5	5	2	3.5	2	0	21.5	High	Groundwater overdraft documented in local GWMP.	
38 5-22.04	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MERCED	San Joaquin River	SCRO	491,255	767.6	173,731	1	4	2	3 5	4	3	3.5	4	0	22.5	High	Overdraft and water quality degradation (MAGPI GWMP).	
39 5-22.05	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	CHOWCHILLA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	159,319	248.9	15,820	1	4	2 2.	25 5	5	3	4	3	0	21.3	High	Overdraft, subsidence, water quality degradation	
40 5-22.06	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	MADERA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	393,429	614.7	116,919	1	5	2	3 5	5	3	4	5	0	25.0	High	Subsidence, critical overdraft, water quality degradation	
41 5-22.07	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	DELTA-MENDOTA	San Joaquin River	SCRO	746,697	1,166.7	107,879	1	5	1 2.	25 5	4	2	3	2	3	22.3	High	Overdraft issues in basin discussed in San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority GWMP	Important agricultural region.
42 5-22.08	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KINGS	Tulare Lake	SCRO	977,030	1,526.6	906,544	2	4	4 3.	75 5	5	3	4	0	0	22.8	High		
43 5-22.09	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	WESTSIDE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	640,504	1,000.8	27,285	5 1	1	1 1	.5 5	4	2	3	5	5	22.5	High	Subsidence, critical overdraft, saline conditions, subsidence	Additional points added for critical agricultural importance, very high TDS and pesticide contamination issues
	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	PLEASANT VALLEY	Tulare Lake	SCRO	145,782	227.8	34,213	1		0 0.	75 3	3	5	4	0	0	11.8	Low		
	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KAWEAH	Tulare Lake	SCRO	446,283	697.3	271,700	2	5		3 5		2	3.5	5	0	26.5	High	Overdraft, water quality issues.	
46 5-22.12	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TULARE LAKE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	524,539	819.6	125,701	1	4 :	1 2.	25 5	5	3	4	5	0	22.3	High	Subsidence, overdraft, water quality degradation	
47 5-22.13	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	TULE	Tulare Lake	SCRO	469,959	734.3	108,660	1	4	2 2.	25 5	5	3	4	4	0	22.3	High	Critical aquifer overdraft conditions in basin. High Nitrate and TDS in some locations and some inorganic contamination issues.	
48 5-22.14	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	KERN COUNTY	Tulare Lake	SCRO	1,950,113	3,047.1	700,323	1	5 2	2 1	.5 4	4	2	3	5	1	22.5	High	Subsidence, overdraft, water quality degradation	Agricultural importance, large basin which results in low population density.
	PANOCHE VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	33,090	51.7	41	0	0 (5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	KERN RIVER VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	79,678	124.5	10,364			4 2.		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	WALKER BASIN CREEK VALLEY	1	Tulare Lake	SCRO	7,693	12.0	249				3 2		1 -	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		Adiodiseas disease
	CUMMINGS VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	10,051	15.7	7,665	2	5 4		3 3 75 1	2	5	4 1 E	0	1	22.0	High	Groundwater quality issues	Adjudicated basin
	TEHACHAPI VALLEY WEST CASTAC LAKE VALLEY	1	Tulare Lake Tulare Lake	SCRO SCRO	14,854 3.573	23.2 5.6	17,313 366						1 5	1.5 0	1	1	20.3 0.0	Medium Very Low	Groundwater quality issues	Adjudicated basin
	YOSEMITE VALLEY		San Joaquin River	SCRO	7,465	11.7	1,016	<u> </u>		4 0.			5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
56 5-70	LOS BANOS CREEK VALLEY		San Joaquin River	SCRO	4,835	7.6	0	0	0 (0 (0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	VALLECITOS CREEK VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	15,110	23.6	0	0	0 (0 (0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	BRITE VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	3,181	5.0	684				75 2	_	3	0	0	1	0.0	Very Low		Adjudicated basin
	CUDDY CANYON VALLEY		Tulare Lake	SCRO	3,308	5.2	2,641	-	4 !				5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	CUDDY RANCH AREA		Tulare Lake	SCRO	4,213	6.6	774		0 !				0	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
	CUDDY VALLEY	+	Tulare Lake	SCRO	3,474	5.4	779	-					5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		
62 5-85	MIL POTRERO AREA	sod by 25% due to de	Tulare Lake	SCRO	2,314	3.6	1,288	_	5 !	5 1	.5 0	2	5	0	0	0	0.0	Very Low		

NOTE: * Data component values were reduced by 25% due to data confidence, prior to calculating total GW basin ranking value

** Sub-fields that are used to determine the overal GW Reliance Total ((GW Use + GW %)/2)

*** Overall Basin Ranking Score = Population + Population Growth + PSW + (Total Wells x .75) + Irr Acreage + (GW Use + GW %)/2 + Impacts + Other